1

Case 2:08-cv-04038-ABC-SS Document 71 Filed 09/12/08 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:280

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

- 7. Denies.
- 8. Denies.
- Denies. 9.
- 10. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Spearmint Rhino.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Bare 11. Elegance.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Century Lounge.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Crazy Girls.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Deja 14. Vu Showgirls.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Fantasy Island.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant 4 Play.
- 17. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Jet Strip.
- 18. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Playpen.
  - Admits. 19.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Rouge.
- Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Sam's Hauf Brau.
- 27 22. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Rio.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

23

24

- 23. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Seventh Veil.
- 24. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Silver Rein.
- 25. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Star Strip.
- 26. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Star Strip Too.
- 27. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Starz.
- 28. Defendant has no knowledge with respect to Defendant Wild Goose.
  - 29. Denies.
    - 30. Denies.
  - 31. Denies.
- 16 32. Denies.
  - 33. Denies.
    - 34. Denies.
    - 35. Denies.
- 20 36. Denies.
- 21 37. Denies.
- 22 38. Denies.
  - 39. Denies.
    - 40. Denies.
- 25 41. Denies.
- 26 42. Denies.
- 27 43. Denies.

any other type of employee or independent contractor. standing to sue.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Plaintiffs named herein as "4 Exotic Dancers" are not proper Plaintiffs. They must sue in the name of a particular

28

23

24

25

26

27

September 12, 2008 H.White scores/anscomplaint

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

person. Otherwise, these Plaintiffs lack standing to sue.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The action should not have been brought as a class action because the named Plaintiffs do not adequately represent the alleged class members. This is not a proper class action.

## SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's action is barred by the statute of limitations.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs lacks clean hand.

## EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs are guilty of laches.

# NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs may not maintain this action as a class action because their attorney, Patrick J. Manshardt, was and is a suspended California attorney and may not practice law during the time of his suspension. For a class action to be properly brought the attorney for the class members must be admitted to practice law in the state where the action is filed. Attorney Patrick J. Manshardt is under suspension and therefore improperly filed this action and improperly had it served.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Court lacks jurisdiction.

# ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

During the time Plaintiffs were allegedly employed they earned substantial sums of money and should be compelled to repay that money should they prevail on any claim in this case. It would be unethical for Plaintiffs to retain any money earned while working